

Measurement

Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives

Volume 10, Numbers 1–4, 2012

Volume 10, Numbers 1–2, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE

- Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity 1
Paul E. Newton

COMMENTARIES

- Consequences That Cannot Be Avoided: A Response to Paul Newton 30
Randy Elliot Bennett
- EPMA Professionals—Servants or Masters? 33
Paul Black
- Whose Consensus Is It Anyway? Scientific Versus Legalistic Conceptions of Validity 38
Denny Borsboom
- “Measurement” and “Construct” Need to Be Clarified First. Commentary on Newton, P. E. “Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity” 42
Tom Bramley
- Conceptions of Validity: The Private and the Public 46
Henry Braun
- Why the Item “23 +1” Is Not in a Depression Questionnaire: Validity From a Network Perspective 50
Angélique O. J. Cramer
- Epistemic Iterations and Consensus Definitions of Validity 55
George Engelhard, Jr. and Nadia Behizadeh
- From Construct Validity to Theory Validation 59
Brian D. Haig
- In Defense of an Instrument-Based Approach to Validity 63
S. Brian Hood
- All Validity Is Construct Validity. Or Is It? 66
Michael Kane
- Consequences of Assessment and Accountability Systems Are Integral to the Argument-Based Approach to Validity 71
Suzanne Lane

Validity is an Action Verb: Commentary on: "Clarifying the Consensus Definition of Validity"	75
<i>Robert W. Lissitz and Tiago Caliço</i>	
Validity and Measurement	80
<i>Michael D. Maraun</i>	
Constructs and Attributes in Test Validity: Reflections on Newton's Account	84
<i>Keith A. Markus</i>	
Promoting Rigorous Validation Practice: An Applied Perspective	88
<i>Krista D. Mattern, Jennifer L. Kobrin, and Wayne J. Camara</i>	
The Case for Informal Argument	93
<i>Robert J. Mislevy</i>	
Validity for What? The Peril of Overclarifying	97
<i>Kevin R. Murphy</i>	
Validity Cannot Be Created, It Can Only Be Lost	100
<i>Alastair Pollitt</i>	
The Consensus Definition Redefined From a Representational Perspective	104
<i>Annemarie Zand Scholten</i>	
REJOINDER	
Questioning the Consensus Definition of Validity	110
<i>Paul E. Newton</i>	

Volume 10, Number 3, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE	
Measuring Scholarly Impact Using Modern Citation-Based Indices	123
<i>John Ruscio, Florence Seaman, Carianne D'Oriano, Elena Stremlo, and Krista Mahalchik</i>	
COMMENTARIES	
Not All Authorships Are Created Equal	147
<i>Peter Borkenau</i>	
Redundancies in <i>H</i> Index Variants and the Proposal of the Number of Top-Cited Papers as an Attractive Indicator	149
<i>Lutz Bornmann</i>	

Metrics of Scholarly Impact <i>John T. Cacioppo and Stephanie Cacioppo</i>	154
Is Hirsch's <i>H</i> the Best Predictor of the Number of a Researcher's Extremely Highly Cited Articles? <i>Kit W. Cho and James H. Neely</i>	157
Impact, <i>H</i> , and Authorship <i>Nick Haslam</i>	161
Commentary on Ruscio et al.: "Measuring Scholarly Impact Using Modern Citation-Based Indices" <i>John Panaretos and Chrisovaladis C. Malesios</i>	164
Measuring What? <i>Theodore M. Porter</i>	167
Citation Measures as Criterion Variables in Predicting Scientific Eminence <i>Dean Keith Simonton</i>	170
Some Limitations of the <i>H</i> Index: A Commentary on Ruscio and Colleagues' Analysis of Bibliometric Indices <i>Ludo Waltman, Rodrigo Costas, and Nees Jan van Eck</i>	172
COMMENTARY ON BLACK ET AL.'S "ROAD MAPS FOR LEARNING: A GUIDE TO THE NAVIGATION OF LEARNING PROGRESSIONS" FROM ISSUE 9(2-3) A Response From Languages <i>Tim McNamara and Kathryn Hill</i>	176

Volume 10, Number 4, 2012

FOCUS ARTICLE

Factorial Versus Typological Models: A Comparison of Methods for Personality Data <i>Matthias von Davier, Bobby Naemi, and Richard D. Roberts</i>	185
--	-----

COMMENTARIES

When Does the Factor-Mixture Distinction Matter? <i>Eric Loken</i>	209
Old Issues in a New Jacket: Power and Validation in the Context of Mixture Modeling <i>Gitta Lubke</i>	212

Building Coherent Validation Arguments for the Measurement of Latent Constructs With Unified Statistical Frameworks	217
<i>André A. Rupp</i>	
Commentary on Factorial Versus Typological Models: Complementary Evidence in the Model Selection Process	222
<i>Karen Samuelsen</i>	

